

# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

### FINAL Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project FINAL

Years: Title: Crop: NSFGPP Operator: Private Industry Cooperator: Objective:

Treatments:

Soil Type: Costs: 2001-2002, 2004-2010 Profitability of Incorporating Lime Soybean/Corn Rotation Jerry Mulliken, Dodge County Jerry Mulliken To determine & document the effect on incorporating lime on the profitability of crop production. Soil pH 5.5. No tillage, no lime vs. tillage, no lime, vs. no tillage, with lime, vs. tillage w/lime. Lime incorporated April 2001. Moody Silty Clay Loam Soil, No-Till Lime - 2.4 T/ac x 14.30/T = \$34.32Prorate for 8 yrs = \$4.29/ac/yr Tillage - 2 x Disc @ \$7/ac = \$14.00





Tillage, lime

Know how. Know now.

# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

55.9

6.04

April 201

#### Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project FINAL FINAL Results: 2001 Soybeans Yield, bu/ac Moisture Test Wt Cost Treatment <u>@ 13%</u> lbs/bu <u>\$/ac</u> <u>%</u> No Tillage, no lime 48 9.7 56.0 No Tillage, lime 51 9.9 56.2 4.29 Tillage, no lime 51 10.0 56.2 1.75

10.1

#### Statistical Analysis: (Prob >F)

Tillage (T)	0.002 ***	0.399 ns	0.746 ns
Lime (L)	0.008 ***	0.544 ns	0.935 ns
TxL	0.778 ns	0.776 ns	0.302 ns

54





# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

FINAL Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project FINAL

Results: 2002

Corn (Pioneer 34M94)

	Yield, bu/ac	Moisture	Test Wt	Cost
<u>Treatment</u>	<u>@ 15.5%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>lbs/bu</u>	<u>\$/ac</u>
No Tillage, no lime	92	17.1	58.4	
No Tillage, lime	94	16.9	58.2	4.29
Tillage, no lime	83	16.7	58.6	1.75
Tillage, lime	91	16.8	58.6	6.04

#### Statistical Analysis: (Prob >F)

Tillage (T)	0.009 ***	0.228 ns	0.260 ns
Lime (L)	0.022 **	0.754 ns	0.601 ns
TxL	0.190 ns	0.281 ns	0.703 ns





# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

### FINAL Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project FINAL

Results: 2004

Corn (GH 8906)

	Yield, bu/ac	Moisture	Cost
<u>Treatment</u>	<u>@ 15%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>\$/ac</u>
No Tillage, no lime	159	15.5	
No Tillage, lime	167	15.9	4.29
Tillage, no lime	160	15.5	1.75
Tillage, lime	174	15.6	6.04

#### Statistical Analysis: (Prob >F)

Tillage (T)	0.382 ns	0.334 ns
Lime (L)	0.018 **	0.037 **
TxL	0.424 ns	0.204 ns





# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

FINAL Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project FINAL

Results: 2005

Soybeans (Latham 967)

	Yield, bu/ac	Moisture	Cost
<u>Treatment</u>	<u>@ 13%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>\$/ac</u>
No Tillage, no lime	45	11.0	
No Tillage, lime	47	11.4	4.29
Tillage, no lime	46	11.6	1.75
Tillage, lime	48	11.2	6.04

#### Statistical Analysis: (Prob >F)

Tillage (T)	0.465 ns	0.341 ns
Lime (L)	0.006 ***	0.907 ns
TxL	0.680 ns	0.148 ns





# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

### FINAL Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project FINAL

#### Results: 2006

#### Corn (Dekalb 6716)

	Yield, bu/ac	Moisture	Cost
<u>Treatment</u>	<u>@ 15.5%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>\$/ac</u>
No Tillage, no lime	123	16.2	
No Tillage, lime	125	16.2	4.29
Tillage, no lime	123	16.3	1.75
Tillage, lime	124	16.3	6.04

#### Statistical Analysis: (Prob >F)

Tillage (T)	0.951 ns	0.313 ns
Lime (L)	0.444 ns	0.696 ns
TxL	0.914 ns	0.859 ns

Planting Date: 4/28/06

Harvest Date 10/18/06



20



### On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

	FINAL Nebraska S	oybean & Fee	ed Grains Pi	rofitability	Project	FINAL
	Soil Tests:	3/15/06				
	Water pH		Depth, in	ches		
	Treatment	<u>0-2</u>	<u>2-4</u>	<u>4-6</u>	<u>6-8</u>	
ļ	No Tillage, no lime	e 5.9	5.3	5.6	5.4	
	No Tillage, lime	6.6	5.5	5.5	5.7	
	Tillage, no lime	5.8	5.3	5.5	5.6	
1	Tillage, lime	6.6	5.8	5.5	5.7	
W						
	Buffer pH					
	No Tillage, no lime	e 6.7	6.5	6.6	6.5	
4	No Tillage, lime	7.0	6.6	6.5	6.7	
	Tillage, no lime	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.6	
	Tillage, lime	7.0	6.6	6.5	6.6	างา



# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

	FINAL Nebraska S	oybean & Fee	d Grains F	Profitability P	roject	FINAL
	Results: 2007		Soybeans	(Latham 967)		
		Yield, bu/ac	Moisture	NDVI	Cost	
5	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>@ 13%</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>\$/ac</u>	
	No Tillage, no lime	56	9.3	0.08		
	No Tillage, lime	60	9.2	0.28	4.29	
	Tillage, no lime	57	9.3	0.17	1.75	
1	Tillage, lime	60	9.3	0.27	6.04	
31	Statistical Analysis:	<u>(Prob &gt;F)</u>				
	Tillage (T)	0.524 ns	0.762 ns	0.057 *		
	Lime (L)	0.0007 ***	0.497 ns	<0.0001 ***		
	TxL	0.224 ns	0.786 ns	0.028 **		5\57
-	Planting Date: 4/30/07	Harvesting Date: 9	/22/07			JML

IANR



# **On-Farm Comparison Results** Mulliken



Planting Date: 5/5/08

Harvest Date 10/30/08





# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

### FINAL Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project FINAL

Results: 2009	Soybeans	(Pioneer 93	3M43)
	Yield, bu/ac	Moisture	Cost
<u>Treatment</u>	<u>@ 13%</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>\$/ac</u>
No Tillage, no lime	63	9.9	
No Tillage, lime	65	10.2	
Tillage, no lime	65	10.1	
Tillage, lime	65	10.8	

#### Statistical Analysis: (Prob >F)

Tillage (T)	0.231 ns	0.327 ns
Lime (L)	0.606 ns	0.300 ns
TxL	0.285 ns	0.626 ns

Planting Date: 4/24/09

Harvest Date 10/11/09 April 20





# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken



Results: 2010	Corn	(DK 62-29)
	Yield, bu/ac	Moisture
<u>Treatment</u>	<u>@ 15.5%</u>	<u>%</u>
No Tillage, no lime	159	15.7
No Tillage, lime	160	15.7
Tillage, no lime	158	15.8
Tillage, lime	160	15.6

#### Statistical Analysis: (Prob >F)

Tillage (T)	0.641 ns	0.915 ns
Lime (L)	0.558 ns	0.347 ns
TxL	0.765 ns	0.311 ns

Planting Date: 4/18/10

Harvest Date: 9/27/10





# On-Farm Comparison Results Mulliken

### FINAL Nebraska Soybean & Feed Grains Profitability Project FINAL

Summary: In 2001, Tillage & Lime increased soybean yields independently & the effects were additive. In 2002, grain yield was lower due to tillage done in 2001 where no lime was applied. Yield data were not obtained in 2003. In 2004, grain yield & grain moisture at harvest were increased by lime applied in 2001. Soybean seed yield was increased by lime in 2005 where lime was applied in 2001. In 2006, corn growth was not affected by lime applied in 2001. In 2007, seed yield was increased significantly but seed moisture at harvest was not affected. The NDVI (an estimate of crop canopy density) was increased slightly by tillage where no lime was applied in 2001, however, lime increased NDVI significantly regardless of tillage. In 2008, corn yields were not increased by lime applied. Soybean yields were not increased in 2009 and corn yields were not affected by treaments in 2010.



Apri